



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,116	05/16/2005	Mark Gilmore Mears	PU020461	3617
24498	7590	08/21/2008		
Joseph J. Laks			EXAMINER	
Thomson Licensing LLC			ANDRAMUNO, FRANKLIN S	
2 Independence Way, Patent Operations				
PO Box 5312			ART UNIT	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543			PAPER NUMBER	
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			08/21/2008	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/535,116

Applicant(s)

MEARS ET AL.

Examiner

FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO

Art Unit

2623

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 May 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/ICE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-8, 10-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kendall (US 2006/0156332 A1) in view of Ganzer et al (US Patent 5,121,430) in view of Fallen et al (US 20030107494A1). Hereinafter referred as Kendall, Ganzer, and Fallen.

Regarding claims 1, 10, and 19, Kendall discloses an apparatus and method having an emergency alert function (**Emergency Alert Function (305) in figure 3**), comprising: tuning means for tuning signals including emergency alert signals associated with said emergency alert function (**Tuner (22) in figure 2**); and processing means for enabling a disabled user setting for an auxiliary information display function of said apparatus responsive to said emergency alert signals. **However, Kendall fails to disclose** the use of enabling a disabled apparatus. Ganzer discloses (**column 11 lines 3-11**) the slave unit (85) may output control signals to control the functioning of accessory devices (96) in response to an emergency. However, Kendall fails to disclose and interface means for disabling an audio output device associated with said apparatus. Fallen discloses on (**figure 2**) automatically disabling the audio alarm of the

power supply while it is still operational. Fallen shows how an operator disables an audio output of an interface at any given time thus could have been disabled.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to include the use of a control function to control devices triggered by an emergency unit. This is a useful combination because it allows devices to be turned on or off in case of an emergency.

Regarding claims 2, 11, and 20, Ganzer discloses the apparatus and method of claims 1, 10, and 19, wherein said disabled audio output device includes an internal speaker of said apparatus (**Speaker (71) in figure 3**).

Regarding claims 3, 12, and 21, Ganzer discloses the apparatus and method of claims 1, 10, and 19, wherein said disabled audio output device is operatively connected to an audio output terminal of said apparatus (**Audio Toggle (69) in figure 3**).

Regarding claims 4, 13, and 22, Ganzer discloses the apparatus and method of claims 1, 10, and 19, wherein said processing means further enables a first alert output via said audio output device responsive to activation of said emergency alert function (**Alternative Broadcast Signal Input Circuitry (53) in figure 3**).

Regarding claims 5, 14, and 23, Ganzer discloses the apparatus and method of claims 4, 13, and 22, wherein said processing means further enables a second alert output via a secondary device responsive to activation of said emergency alert function (**User Set Alternative Alert Select (58) in figure 3**).

Regarding claims 6, 15, and 24, Ganzer discloses the apparatus and method of claims 5, 14, and 23, wherein said secondary device includes a telephone (**column 3 lines 21**).

Regarding claims 7, 16, and 25, Ganzer discloses the apparatus and method of claims 5, 14, and 23, wherein said secondary device includes a computer (**Alert Computer (11) in figure 2**).

Regarding claims 8, 17, and 26, Kendall discloses the apparatus and method of claims 5, 14, and 23, wherein said secondary device includes a television signal receiver (**Display (29) in figure 2**).

Regarding claims 9, 18, and 27, Ganzer discloses the apparatus and method of claims 5, 14, and 23, wherein said secondary device includes a visual output device (**Alarm (6) in figure 1**).

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO whose telephone number is (571)270-3004. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (7:30am - 5:00pm) alternate Fri off (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571)272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chris Kelley/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2623